Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Phosphate Operations

Date issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

### 11. APPENDIX A – 40 CFR 63 SUBPART A REQUIREMENTS

Provided below is a copy of 40 CFR, Subpart A which is current as of the time of issuance of this permit. Where the Department has provided a reprint of an applicable federal regulation, in the case of any discrepancy or conflict between the reprint and the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), the requirement in the CFR shall control.

#### Prohibited activities and circumvention

#### (a) Prohibited activities

- (1) No owner or operator subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63 shall operate any affected source in violation of the requirements of 40 CFR 63 except under
- (i) An extension of compliance granted by the Administrator under 40 CFR 63; or
- (ii) An extension of compliance granted under 40 CFR 63 by a State with an approved permit program; or
- (iii) An exemption from compliance granted by the President under section 112(i)(4) of the Act.
- (2) No owner or operator subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63 shall fail to keep records, notify, report, or revise reports as required under 40 CFR 63.
- (3) After the effective date of an approved permit program in a State, no owner or operator of an affected source in that State who is required under 40 CFR 63 to obtain a title V permit shall operate such source except in compliance with the provisions of 40 CFR 63 and the applicable requirements of the permit program in that State.
- (4) (Reserved).
- (5) An owner or operator of an affected source who is subject to an emission standard promulgated under 40 CFR 63 shall comply with the requirements of that standard by the date(s) established in the applicable subpart(s) of 40 CFR 63 regardless of whether
- (i) A title V permit has been issued to that source; or
- (ii) If a title V permit has been issued to that source, whether such permit has been revised or modified to incorporate the emission standard.

#### (b) Circumvention.

No owner or operator subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63 shall build, erect, install, or use any article, machine, equipment, or process to conceal an emission that would otherwise constitute noncompliance with a relevant standard.

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

Such concealment includes, but is not limited to

- (1) The use of diluents to achieve compliance with a relevant standard based on the concentration of a pollutant in the effluent discharged to the atmosphere;
- (2) The use of gaseous diluents to achieve compliance with a relevant standard for visible emissions; and
- (3) The fragmentation of an operation such that the operation avoids regulation by a relevant standard.
- (c) Severability.

Notwithstanding any requirement incorporated into a Title V permit obtained by an owner or operator subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63, the provisions of 40 CFR 63 are federally enforceable.

[40 CFR 63.4]

## Operation and maintenance requirements

- (e) Operation and maintenance requirements.
- (1)(i) At all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, owners or operators shall operate and maintain any affected source, including associated air pollution control equipment, in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions at least to the levels required by all relevant standards.
- (ii) Malfunctions shall be corrected as soon as practicable after their occurrence in accordance with the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan required in paragraph (e)(3) of this section.
- (iii) Operation and maintenance requirements established pursuant to section 112 of the Act are enforceable independent of emissions limitations or other requirements in relevant standards.
- (2) Determination of whether acceptable operation and maintenance procedures are being used will be based on information available to the Administrator which may include, but is not limited to, monitoring results, review of operation and maintenance procedures [including the startup, shutdown, and maifunction plan required in paragraph (e)(3) of this section], review of operation and maintenance records, and inspection of the source.
- (3) Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Plan.
- (i) The owner or operator of an affected source shall develop and implement a written startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan that describes, in detail, procedures for operating and maintaining the source during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction and a program of corrective action for malfunctioning process and air pollution control equipment used to comply with the relevant standard. As required under 40 CFR 63.8(c)(1)(i), the plan shall identify all routine or otherwise predictable CMS malfunctions. This plan shall be developed by the owner or operator by the source's compliance date for that relevant standard. The plan shall be incorporated by reference into the source's title V permit. The purpose of the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan is to

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

- (A) Ensure that, at all times, owners or operators operate and maintain affected sources, including associated air pollution control equipment, in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions at least to the levels required by all relevant standards;
- (B) Ensure that owners or operators are prepared to correct malfunctions as soon as practicable after their occurrence in order to minimize excess emissions of hazardous air pollutants; and
- (C) Reduce the reporting burden associated with periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction (including corrective action taken to restore malfunctioning process and air pollution control equipment to its normal or usual manner of operation).
- (ii) During periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, the owner or operator of an affected source shall operate and maintain such source (including associated air pollution control equipment) in accordance with the procedures specified in the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan developed under paragraph (e)(3)(i) of this section.
- (iii) When actions taken by the owner or operator during a startup, shutdown, or malfunction (including actions taken to correct a malfunction) are consistent with the procedures specified in the affected source's startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, the owner or operator shall keep records for that event that demonstrate that the procedures specified in the plan were followed. These records may take the form of a "checklist," or other effective form of recordkeeping, that confirms conformance with the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan for that event. In addition, the owner or operator shall keep records of these events as specified in 40 CFR 63.10(b) (and elsewhere in 40 CFR 63), including records of the occurrence and duration of each startup, shutdown, or malfunction of operation and each malfunction of the air pollution control equipment. Furthermore, the owner or operator shall confirm that actions taken during the relevant reporting period during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction were consistent with the affected source's startup, shutdown and malfunction plan in the semiannual (or more frequent) startup, shutdown, and malfunction report required in 40 CFR 63.10(d)(5).
- (iv) If an action taken by the owner or operator during a startup, shutdown, or malfunction (including an action taken to correct a malfunction) is not consistent with the procedures specified in the affected source's startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, the owner or operator shall record the actions taken for that event and shall report such actions within two working days after commencing actions inconsistent with the plan, followed by a letter within seven working days after the end of the event, in accordance with 40 CFR 63.10(d)(5) (unless the owner or operator makes alternative reporting arrangements, in advance, with the Administrator [see 40 CFR 63.10(d)(5)(ii)].
- (v) The owner or operator shall keep the written startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan on record after it is developed to be made available for inspection, upon request, by the Administrator for the life of the affected source or until the affected source is no longer subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63. In addition, if the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan is revised, the owner or operator shall keep previous (i.e., superseded) versions of the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan on record, to be made available for inspection, upon request, by the Administrator, for a period of five years after each revision to the plan.
- (vi) To satisfy the requirements of this section to develop a startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, the owner or operator may use the affected source's standard operating procedures (SOP) manual, or an Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) or other plan, provided the alternative plans meet all the requirements of this section and are made available for inspection when requested by the Administrator.

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.: Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(vii) Based on the results of a determination made under paragraph (e)(2) of this section, the Administrator may require that an owner or operator of an affected source make changes to the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan for that source. The Administrator may require reasonable revisions to a startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, if the Administrator finds that the plan:

- (A) Does not address a startup, shutdown, or malfunction event that has occurred;
- (B) Fails to provide for the operation of the source (including associated air pollution control equipment) during a startup, shutdown, or malfunction event in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions at least to the levels required by all relevant standards; or
- (C) Does not provide adequate procedures for correcting malfunctioning process and/or air pollution control equipment as quickly as practicable.
- (viii) If the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan fails to address or inadequately addresses an event that meets the characteristics of a malfunction but was not included in the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan at the time the owner or operator developed the plan, the owner or operator shall revise the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan within 45 days after the event to include detailed procedures for operating and maintaining the source during similar malfunction events and a program of corrective action for similar malfunctions of process or air pollution control equipment.
- (f) Compliance with nonopacity emission standards
- (1) Applicability. The nonopacity emission standards set forth in 40 CFR 63 shall apply at all times except during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, and as otherwise specified in an applicable subpart.

  [40 CFR 63.6(e), (f)(1)]

## Performance testing requirements

- (a) Applicability and performance test dates.
- (1) Unless otherwise specified, this section applies to the owner or operator of an affected source required to do performance testing, or another form of compliance demonstration, under a relevant standard.
- (2) If required to do performance testing by a relevant standard, and unless a waiver of performance testing is obtained under this section or the conditions of paragraph (c)(3)(ii)(B) of this section apply, the owner or operator of the affected source shall perform such tests as follows —
- (i) Within 180 days after the effective date of a relevant standard for a new source that has an initial startup date before the effective date; or
- (ii) Within 180 days after initial startup for a new source that has an initial startup date after the effective date of a relevant standard; or

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Phosphate Operations

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

- (iii) Within 180 days after the compliance date specified in an applicable subpart of 40 CFR 63 for an existing source subject to an emission standard established pursuant to section 112(d) of the Act, or within 180 days after startup of an existing source if the source begins operation after the effective date of the relevant emission standard; or
- (iv) Within 180 days after the compliance date for an existing source subject to an emission standard established pursuant to section 112(f) of the Act; or
- (v) Within 180 days after the termination date of the source's extension of compliance for an existing source that obtains an extension of compliance under 40 CFR 63.6(i); or
- (vi) Within 180 days after the compliance date for a new source, subject to an emission standard established pursuant to section 112(f) of the Act, for which construction or reconstruction is commenced after the proposal date of a relevant standard established pursuant to section 112(d) of the Act but before the proposal date of the relevant standard established pursuant to section 112(f) [see 40 CFR 63.6(b)(4)]; or
- (vii) (Reserved).
- (viii) (Reserved).
- (ix) When an emission standard promulgated under 40 CFR 63 is more stringent than the standard proposed [see 40 CFR 63.6(b)(3)], the owner or operator of a new or reconstructed source subject to that standard for which construction or reconstruction is commenced between the proposal and promulgation dates of the standard shall comply with performance testing requirements within 180 days after the standard's effective date, or within 180 days after startup of the source, whichever is later. If the promulgated standard is more stringent than the proposed standard, the owner or operator may choose to demonstrate compliance with either the proposed or the promulgated standard. If the owner or operator chooses to comply with the proposed standard initially, the owner or operator shall conduct a second performance test within three years and 180 days after the effective date of the standard, or after startup of the source, whichever is later, to demonstrate compliance with the promulgated standard.
- (3) The Administrator may require an owner or operator to conduct performance tests at the affected source at any other time when the action is authorized by section 114 of the Act.

[40 CFR 63.7(a)]

#### (b) Notification of performance test.

(1) The owner or operator of an affected source shall notify the Administrator in writing of his or her intention to conduct a performance test at least 60 calendar days before the performance test is scheduled to begin to allow the Administrator, upon request, to review and approve the site-specific test plan required under paragraph (c) of this section and to have an observer present during the test. Observation of the performance test by the Administrator is optional.

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Phosphate Operations

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(2) In the event the owner or operator is unable to conduct the performance test on the date specified in the notification requirement specified in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, due to unforeseeable circumstances beyond his or her control, the owner or operator shall notify the Administrator within five days prior to the scheduled performance test date and specify the date when the performance test is rescheduled. This notification of delay in conducting the performance test shall not relieve the owner or operator of legal responsibility for compliance with any other applicable provisions of 40 CFR 63 or with any other applicable Federal, State, or local requirement, nor will it prevent the Administrator from implementing or enforcing 40 CFR 63 or taking any other action under the Act.

[40 CFR 63.7(b)]

### (c) Quality assurance program.

- (1) The results of the quality assurance program required in this paragraph will be considered by the Administrator when he/she determines the validity of a performance test.
- (2)(i) Submission of site-specific test plan. Before conducting a required performance test, the owner or operator of an affected source shall develop and, if requested by the Administrator, shall submit a site-specific test plan to the Administrator for approval. The test plan shall include a test program summary, the test schedule, data quality objectives, and both an internal and external quality assurance (QA) program. Data quality objectives are the pretest expectations of precision, accuracy, and completeness of data.
- (ii) The internal QA program shall include, at a minimum, the activities planned by routine operators and analysts to provide an assessment of test data precision; an example of internal QA is the sampling and analysis of replicate samples.
- (iii) The external QA program shall include, at a minimum, application of plans for a test method performance audit (PA) during the performance test. The PA's consist of blind audit samples provided by the Administrator and analyzed during the performance test in order to provide a measure of test data bias. The external QA program may also include systems audits that include the opportunity for onsite evaluation by the Administrator of instrument calibration, data validation, sample logging, and documentation of quality control data and field maintenance activities.
- (iv) The owner or operator of an affected source shall submit the site-specific test plan to the Administrator upon the Administrator's request at least 60 calendar days before the performance test is scheduled to take place, that is, simultaneously with the notification of intention to conduct a performance test required under paragraph (b) of this section, or on a mutually agreed upon date.
- (v) The Administrator may request additional relevant information after the submittal of a site-specific test plan.
- (3) Approval of site-specific test plan.
- (i) The Administrator will notify the owner or operator of approval or intention to deny approval of the site-specific test plan (if review of the site-specific test plan is requested) within 30 calendar days after receipt of the original plan and within 30 calendar days after receipt of any supplementary information that is submitted under paragraph (c)(3)(i)(B) of this section. Before disapproving any site-specific test plan, the Administrator will notify the applicant of the Administrator's intention to disapprove the plan together with —

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(A) Notice of the information and findings on which the intended disapproval is based; and

- (B) Notice of opportunity for the owner or operator to present, within 30 calendar days after he/she is notified of the intended disapproval, additional information to the Administrator before final action on the plan.
- (ii) In the event that the Administrator fails to approve or disapprove the site-specific test plan within the time period specified in paragraph (c)(3)(i) of this section, the following conditions shall apply:
- (A) If the owner or operator intends to demonstrate compliance using the test method(s) specified in the relevant standard, the owner or operator shall conduct the performance test within the time specified in this section using the specified method(s);
- (B) If the owner or operator intends to demonstrate compliance by using an alternative to any test method specified in the relevant standard, the owner or operator shall refrain from conducting the performance test until the Administrator approves the use of the alternative method when the Administrator approves the site-specific test plan (if review of the site-specific test plan is requested) or until after the alternative method is approved [see paragraph (f) of this section]. If the Administrator does not approve the site-specific test plan (if review is requested) or the use of the alternative method within 30 days before the test is scheduled to begin, the performance test dates specified in paragraph (a) of this section may be extended such that the owner or operator shall conduct the performance test within 60 calendar days after the Administrator approves the site-specific test plan or after use of the alternative method is approved. Notwithstanding the requirements in the preceding two sentences, the owner or operator may proceed to conduct the performance test as required in this section (without the Administrator's prior approval of the site-specific test plan) if he/she subsequently chooses to use the specified testing and monitoring methods instead of an alternative.
- (iii) Neither the submission of a site-specific test plan for approval, nor the Administrator's approval or disapproval of a plan, nor the Administrator's failure to approve or disapprove a plan in a timely manner shall
- (A) Relieve an owner or operator of legal responsibility for compliance with any applicable provisions of 40CFR Part 63 or with any other applicable Federal, State, or local requirement; or
- (B) Prevent the Administrator from implementing or enforcing 40 CFR 63 or taking any other action under the Act.
- (4)(i) Performance test method audit program. The owner or operator shall analyze performance audit (PA) samples during each performance test. The owner or operator shall request performance audit materials 45 days prior to the test date. Cylinder audit gases, if available, must be obtained from the appropriate EPA Regional Office or from the responsible enforcement authority and analyzed in conjunction with the field samples.
- (ii) The Administrator will have sole discretion to require any subsequent remedial actions of the owner or operator based on the PA results.
- (iii) If the Administrator fails to provide required PA materials to an owner or operator of an affected source in time to analyze the PA samples during a performance test, the requirement to conduct a PA under this paragraph shall be waived for such source for that performance test. Waiver under this paragraph of the requirement to conduct a PA for a particular performance test does not constitute a waiver of the requirement to conduct a PA for future required performance tests.

[40 CFR 63.7(c)]

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

### (d) Performance testing facilities.

If required to do performance testing, the owner or operator of each new source and, at the request of the Administrator, the owner or operator of each existing source, shall provide performance testing facilities as follows:

- (1) Sampling ports adequate for test methods applicable to such source. This includes:
- (i) Constructing the air pollution control system such that volumetric flow rates and pollutant emission rates can be accurately determined by applicable test methods and procedures; and
- (ii) Providing a stack or duct free of cyclonic flow during performance tests, as demonstrated by applicable test methods and procedures;
- (2) Safe sampling platform(s);
- (3) Safe access to sampling platform(s);
- (4) Utilities for sampling and testing equipment; and
- (5) Any other facilities that the Administrator deems necessary for safe and adequate testing of a source.

  [40 CFR 63.7(d)]
- (e) Conduct of performance tests.
- (1) Performance tests shall be conducted under such conditions as the Administrator specifies to the owner or operator based on representative performance (i.e., performance based on normal operating conditions) of the affected source. Operations during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction shall not constitute representative conditions for the purpose of a performance test, nor shall emissions in excess of the level of the relevant standard during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction be considered a violation of the relevant standard unless otherwise specified in the relevant standard or a determination of noncompliance is made under 40 CFR 63.6(e). Upon request, the owner or operator shall make available to the Administrator such records as may be necessary to determine the conditions of performance tests.
- (2) Performance tests shall be conducted and data shall be reduced in accordance with the test methods and procedures set forth in this section, in each relevant standard, and, if required, in applicable appendices of parts 51, 60, 61, and 63 of this chapter unless the Administrator —
- (i) Specifies or approves, in specific cases, the use of a test method with minor changes in methodology; or
- (ii) Approves the use of an alternative test method, the results of which the Administrator has determined to be adequate for indicating whether a specific affected source is in compliance; or
- (iii) Approves shorter sampling times and smaller sample volumes when necessitated by process variables or other factors; or
- (iv) Waives the requirement for performance tests because the owner or operator of an affected source has demonstrated by other means to the Administrator's satisfaction that the affected source is in compliance with the relevant standard.

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

- (3) Unless otherwise specified in a relevant standard or test method, each performance test shall consist of three separate runs using the applicable test method. Each run shall be conducted for the time and under the conditions specified in the relevant standard. For the purpose of determining compliance with a relevant standard, the arithmetic mean of the results of the three runs shall apply. Upon receiving approval from the Administrator, results of a test run may be replaced with results of an additional test run in the event that —
- (i) A sample is accidentally lost after the testing team leaves the site; or
- (ii) Conditions occur in which one of the three runs must be discontinued because of forced shutdown; or
- (iii) Extreme meteorological conditions occur; or
- (iv) Other circumstances occur that are beyond the owner or operator's control.
- (4) Nothing in paragraphs (e)(1) through (e)(3) of this section shall be construed to abrogate the Administrator's authority to require testing under section 114 of the Act.

[40 CFR 63.7(e)]

#### (f) Use of an alternative test method

- (1) General. Until permission to use an alternative test method has been granted by the Administrator under this paragraph, the owner or operator of an affected source remains subject to the requirements of this section and the relevant standard.
- (2) The owner or operator of an affected source required to do performance testing by a relevant standard may use an alternative test method from that specified in the standard provided that the owner or operator —
- (i) Notifies the Administrator of his or her intention to use an alternative test method not later than with the submittal of the site-specific test plan (if requested by the Administrator) or at least 60 days before the performance test is scheduled to begin if a site-specific test plan is not submitted;
- (ii) Uses Method 301 in Appendix A of 40 CFR 63 to validate the alternative test method; and
- (iii) Submits the results of the Method 301 validation process along with the notification of intention and the justification for not using the specified test method. The owner or operator may submit the information required in this paragraph well in advance of the deadline specified in paragraph (f)(2)(i) of this section to ensure a timely review by the Administrator in order to meet the performance test date specified in this section or the relevant standard.
- (3) The Administrator will determine whether the owner or operator's validation of the proposed alternative test method is adequate when the Administrator approves or disapproves the site-specific test plan required under paragraph (c) of this section. If the Administrator finds reasonable grounds to dispute the results obtained by the Method 301 validation process, the Administrator may require the use of a test method specified in a relevant standard.
- (4) If the Administrator finds reasonable grounds to dispute the results obtained by an alternative test method for the purposes of demonstrating compliance with a relevant standard, the Administrator may require the use of a test method specified in a relevant standard.

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.: Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

Soda Springs, Idaho Location:

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(5) If the owner or operator uses an alternative test method for an affected source during a required performance test, the owner or operator of such source shall continue to use the alternative test method for subsequent performance tests at that affected source until he or she receives approval from the Administrator to use another test method as allowed under 40 CFR 63.7(f).

(6) Neither the validation and approval process nor the failure to validate an alternative test method shall abrogate the owner or operator's responsibility to comply with the requirements of 40 CFR 63.

[40 CFR 63.7(f)]

## (g) Data analysis, recordkeeping, and reporting.

(1) Unless otherwise specified in a relevant standard or test method, or as otherwise approved by the Administrator in writing, results of a performance test shall include the analysis of samples, determination of emissions, and raw data. A performance test is "completed" when field sample collection is terminated. The owner or operator of an affected source shall report the results of the performance test to the Administrator before the close of business on the 60th day following the completion of the performance test, unless specified otherwise in a relevant standard or as approved otherwise in writing by the Administrator [see 40] CFR 63.9(i)]. The results of the performance test shall be submitted as part of the notification of compliance status required under 40 CFR 63.9(h). Before a title V permit has been issued to the owner or operator of an affected source, the owner or operator shall send the results of the performance test to the Administrator. After a title V permit has been issued to the owner or operator of an affected source, the owner or operator shall send the results of the performance test to the appropriate permitting authority.

### (2) (Reserved).

(3) For a minimum of five years after a performance test is conducted, the owner or operator shall retain and make available, upon request, for inspection by the Administrator the records or results of such performance test and other data needed to determine emissions from an affected source.

[40 CFR 63.7(g)]

#### (h) Waiver of performance tests.

- (1) Until a waiver of a performance testing requirement has been granted by the Administrator under this paragraph, the owner or operator of an affected source remains subject to the requirements of this section.
- (2) Individual performance tests may be waived upon written application to the Administrator if, in the Administrator's judgment, the source is meeting the relevant standard(s) on a continuous basis, or the source is being operated under an extension of compliance, or the owner or operator has requested an extension of compliance and the Administrator is still considering that request.
- (3) Request to waive a performance test.

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

- (i) If a request is made for an extension of compliance under 40 CFR 63.6(i), the application for a waiver of an initial performance test shall accompany the information required for the request for an extension of compliance. If no extension of compliance is requested or if the owner or operator has requested an extension of compliance and the Administrator is still considering that request, the application for a waiver of an initial performance test shall be submitted at least 60 days before the performance test if the site-specific test plan under paragraph (c) of this section is not submitted.
- (ii) If an application for a waiver of a subsequent performance test is made, the application may accompany any required compliance progress report, compliance status report, or excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance report [such as those required under 40 CFR 63.6(i), 40 CFR 63.9(h), and 40 CFR 63.10(e) or specified in a relevant standard or in the source's title V permit], but it shall be submitted at least 60 days before the performance test if the site-specific test plan required under paragraph (c) of this section is not submitted.
- (iii) Any application for a waiver of a performance test shall include information justifying the owner or operator's request for a waiver, such as the technical or economic infeasibility, or the impracticality, of the affected source performing the required test.
- (4) Approval of request to waive performance test. The Administrator will approve or deny a request for a waiver of a performance test made under paragraph (h)(3) of this section when he/she —
- (i) Approves or denies an extension of compliance under 40 CFR 63.6(i)(8); or
- (ii) Approves or disapproves a site-specific test plan under 40 CFR 63.7(c)(3); or
- (iii) Makes a determination of compliance following the submission of a required compliance status report or excess emissions and continuous monitoring systems performance report; or
- (iv) Makes a determination of suitable progress towards compliance following the submission of a compliance progress report, whichever is applicable.
- (5) Approval of any waiver granted under this section shall not abrogate the Administrator's authority under the Act or in any way prohibit the Administrator from later canceling the waiver. The cancellation will be made only after notice is given to the owner or operator of the affected source.

[40 CFR 63.7(h)]

## Monitoring requirements

### (a) Applicability.

- (1)(i) Unless otherwise specified in a relevant standard, this section applies to the owner or operator of an affected source required to do monitoring under that standard.
- (ii) Relevant standards established under 40 CFR 63 will specify monitoring systems, methods, or procedures, monitoring frequency, and other pertinent requirements for source(s) regulated by those standards. This section specifies general monitoring requirements such as those governing the conduct of monitoring and requests to use alternative monitoring methods. In addition, this section specifies detailed requirements that apply to affected sources required to use continuous monitoring systems (CMS) under a relevant standard.

[40 CFR 63.8(a)]

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

# (b) Conduct of monitoring.

- (1) Monitoring shall be conducted as set forth in this section and the relevant standard(s) unless the Administrator
- (i) Specifies or approves the use of minor changes in methodology for the specified monitoring requirements and procedures; or
- (ii) Approves the use of alternatives to any monitoring requirements or procedures.
- (iii) Owners or operators with flares subject to 40 CFR 63.11(b) are not subject to the requirements of this section unless otherwise specified in the relevant standard.
- (2)(i) When the effluents from a single affected source, or from two or more affected sources, are combined before being released to the atmosphere, the owner or operator shall install an applicable CMS on each effluent.
- (ii) If the relevant standard is a mass emission standard and the effluent from one affected source is released to the atmosphere through more than one point, the owner or operator shall install an applicable CMS at each emission point unless the installation of fewer systems is —
- (A) Approved by the Administrator; or
- (B) Provided for in a relevant standard (e.g., instead of requiring that a CMS be installed at each emission point before the effluents from those points are channeled to a common control device, the standard specifies that only one CMS is required to be installed at the vent of the control device).
- (3) When more than one CMS is used to measure the emissions from one affected source (e.g., multiple breechings, multiple outlets), the owner or operator shall report the results as required for each CMS. However, when one CMS is used as a backup to another CMS, the owner or operator shall report the results from the CMS used to meet the monitoring requirements of 40 CFR 63. If both such CMS are used during a particular reporting period to meet the monitoring requirements of 40 CFR 63, then the owner or operator shall report the results from each CMS for the relevant compliance period.

[40 CFR 63.8(b)]

#### (c) Operation and maintenance of continuous monitoring systems.

- (1) The owner or operator of an affected source shall maintain and operate each CMS as specified in this section, or in a relevant standard, and in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices.
- (i) The owner or operator of an affected source shall ensure the immediate repair or replacement of CMS parts to correct "routine" or otherwise predictable CMS malfunctions as defined in the source's startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan required by 40 CFR 63.6(e)(3). The owner or operator shall keep the necessary parts for routine repairs of the affected equipment readily available. If the plan is followed and the CMS repaired immediately, this action shall be reported in the semiannual startup, shutdown, and malfunction report required under 40 CFR 63.10(d)(5)(i).

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

- (ii) For those malfunctions or other events that affect the CMS and are not addressed by the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, the owner or operator shall report actions that are not consistent with the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan within 24 hours after commencing actions inconsistent with the plan. The owner or operator shall send a follow-up report within two weeks after commencing actions inconsistent with the plan that either certifies that corrections have been made or includes a corrective action plan and schedule. The owner or operator shall provide proof that repair parts have been ordered or any other records that would indicate that the delay in making repairs is beyond his or her control.
- (iii) The Administrator's determination of whether acceptable operation and maintenance procedures are being used will be based on information that may include, but is not limited to, review of operation and maintenance procedures, operation and maintenance records, manufacturing recommendations and specifications, and inspection of the CMS. Operation and maintenance procedures written by the CMS manufacturer and other guidance also can be used to maintain and operate each CMS.
- (2) All CMS shall be installed such that representative measurements of emissions or process parameters from the affected source are obtained. In addition, CEMS shall be located according to procedures contained in the applicable performance specification(s).
- (3) All CMS shall be installed, operational, and the data verified as specified in the relevant standard either prior to or in conjunction with conducting performance tests under 40 CFR 63.7. Verification of operational status shall, at a minimum, include completion of the manufacturer's written specifications or recommendations for installation, operation, and calibration of the system.
- (4) Except for system breakdowns, out-of-control periods, repairs, maintenance periods, calibration checks, and zero (low-level) and high-level calibration drift adjustments, all CMS, including COMS and CEMS, shall be in continuous operation and shall meet minimum frequency of operation requirements as follows:
- (i) All COMS shall complete a minimum of one cycle of sampling and analyzing for each successive 10-second period and one cycle of data recording for each successive six-minute period.
- (ii) All CEMS for measuring emissions other than opacity shall complete a minimum of one cycle of operation (sampling, analyzing, and data recording) for each successive 15-minute period.

[40 CFR 63.8(c)]

- (d) Quality control program.
- (1) The results of the quality control program required in this paragraph will be considered by the Administrator when he/she determines the validity of monitoring data.
- (2) The owner or operator of an affected source that is required to use a CMS and is subject to the monitoring requirements of this section and a relevant standard shall develop and implement a CMS quality control program. As part of the quality control program, the owner or operator shall develop and submit to the Administrator for approval upon request a site-specific performance evaluation test plan for the CMS performance evaluation required in paragraph (e)(3)(i) of this section, according to the procedures specified in paragraph (e). In addition, each quality control program shall include, at a minimum, a written protocol that describes procedures for each of the following operations:
- (i) Initial and any subsequent calibration of the CMS;
- (ii) Determination and adjustment of the calibration drift of the CMS;

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

- (iii) Preventive maintenance of the CMS, including spare parts inventory;
- (iv) Data recording, calculations, and reporting;
- (v) Accuracy audit procedures, including sampling and analysis methods; and
- (vi) Program of corrective action for a malfunctioning CMS.
- (3) The owner or operator shall keep these written procedures on record for the life of the affected source or until the affected source is no longer subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63, to be made available for inspection, upon request, by the Administrator. If the performance evaluation plan is revised, the owner or operator shall keep previous (i.e., superseded) versions of the performance evaluation plan on record to be made available for inspection, upon request, by the Administrator, for a period of five years after each revision to the plan. Where relevant, e.g., program of corrective action for a malfunctioning CMS, these written procedures may be incorporated as part of the affected source's startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan to avoid duplication of planning and recordkeeping efforts.

[40 CFR 63.8(d)]

- (e) Not applicable.
- (f) Use of an alternative monitoring method -
- (1) General.

Until permission to use an alternative monitoring method has been granted by the Administrator under this paragraph, the owner or operator of an affected source remains subject to the requirements of this section and the relevant standard.

- (2) After receipt and consideration of written application, the Administrator may approve alternatives to any monitoring methods or procedures of 40 CFR 63 including, but not limited to, the following:
- (i) Alternative monitoring requirements when installation of a CMS specified by a relevant standard would not provide accurate measurements due to liquid water or other interferences caused by substances within he effluent gases;
- (ii) Alternative monitoring requirements when the affected source is infrequently operated:
- (iii) Alternative monitoring requirements to accommodate CEMS that require additional measurements to correct for stack moisture conditions:
- (iv) Alternative locations for installing CMS when the owner or operator can demonstrate that installation at alternate locations will enable accurate and representative measurements;
- (v) Alternate methods for converting pollutant concentration measurements to units of the relevant standard:
- (vi) Alternate procedures for performing daily checks of zero (low-level) and high-level drift that do not involve use of high-level gases or test cells;
- (vii) Alternatives to the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) test methods or sampling procedures specified by any relevant standard;

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Phosphate Operations

Date issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(viii) Alternative CMS that do not meet the design or performance requirements in 40 CFR 63, but adequately demonstrate a definite and consistent relationship between their measurements and the measurements of opacity by a system complying with the requirements as specified in the relevant standard. The Administrator may require that such demonstration be performed for each affected source; or

- (ix) Alternative monitoring requirements when the effluent from a single affected source or the combined effluent from two or more affected sources is released to the atmosphere through more than one point.
- (3) If the Administrator finds reasonable grounds to dispute the results obtained by an alternative monitoring method, requirement, or procedure, the Administrator may require the use of a method, requirement, or procedure specified in this section or in the relevant standard. If the results of the specified and alternative method, requirement, or procedure do not agree, the results obtained by the specified method, requirement, or procedure shall prevail.
- (4)(i) Request to use alternative monitoring method. An owner or operator who wishes to use an alternative monitoring method shall submit an application to the Administrator as described in paragraph (f)(4)(ii) of this section, below. The application may be submitted at any time provided that the monitoring method is not used to demonstrate compliance with a relevant standard or other requirement. If the alternative monitoring method is to be used to demonstrate compliance with a relevant standard, the application shall be submitted not later than with the site-specific test plan required in 40 CFR 63.7(c) (if requested) or with the site-specific performance evaluation plan (if requested) or at least 60 days before the performance evaluation is scheduled to begin.
- (ii) The application shall contain a description of the proposed alternative monitoring system and a performance evaluation test plan, if required, as specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section. In addition, the application shall include information justifying the owner or operator's request for an alternative monitoring method, such as the technical or economic infeasibility, or the impracticality, of the affected source using the required method.
- (iii) The owner or operator may submit the information required in this paragraph well in advance of the submittal dates specified in paragraph (f)(4)(i) above to ensure a timely review by the Administrator in order to meet the compliance demonstration date specified in this section or the relevant standard.
- (5) Approval of request to use alternative monitoring method.
- (i) The Administrator will notify the owner or operator of approval or intention to deny approval of the request to use an alternative monitoring method within 30 calendar days after receipt of the original request and within 30 calendar days after receipt of any supplementary information that is submitted. B efore disapproving any request to use an alternative monitoring method, the Administrator will notify the applicant of the Administrator's intention to disapprove the request together with —
- (A) Notice of the information and findings on which the intended disapproval is based; and
- (B) Notice of opportunity for the owner or operator to present additional information to the Administrator before final action on the request. At the time the Administrator notifies the applicant of his or her intention to disapprove the request, the Administrator will specify how much time the owner or operator will have after being notified of the intended disapproval to submit the additional information.

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(ii) The Administrator may establish general procedures and criteria in a relevant standard to accomplish the requirements of paragraph (f)(5)(i) of this section.

(iii) If the Administrator approves the use of an alternative monitoring method for an affected source under paragraph (f)(5)(i) of this section, the owner or operator of such source shall continue to use the alternative monitoring method until he or she receives approval from the Administrator to use another monitoring method as allowed by 40 CFR 63.8(f).

[40 CFR 63.8(f)]

## (g) Reduction of monitoring data.

- (1) The owner or operator of each CMS shall reduce the monitoring data as specified in this paragraph. In addition, each relevant standard may contain additional requirements for reducing monitoring data. When additional requirements are specified in a relevant standard, the standard will identify any unnecessary or duplicated requirements in this paragraph that the owner or operator need not comply with.
- (2) Not applicable.
- (3) The data may be recorded in reduced or nonreduced form (e.g., ppm pollutant and percent O(2) or ng/J of pollutant).
- (4) All emission data shall be converted into units of the relevant standard for reporting purposes using the conversion procedures specified in that standard. After conversion into units of the relevant standard, the data may be rounded to the same number of significant digits as used in that standard to specify the emission limit (e.g., rounded to the nearest one% opacity).
- (5) Monitoring data recorded during periods of unavoidable CMS breakdowns, out-of-control periods, repairs, maintenance periods, calibration checks, and zero (low-level) and high-level adjustments shall not be included in any data average computed under 40 CFR 63. For owners or operators complying with the requirements of 40 CFR 63.10(b)(2)(vii)(A) or (B), data averages must include any data recorded during periods of monitor breakdown or malfunction.

[40 CFR 63.8(g)]

### Notification Requirements

#### (a) Applicability and general information.

- (1)The requirements in this section apply to owners and operators of affected sources that are subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63 unless specified otherwise in a relevant standard.
- (2) For affected sources that have been granted an extension of compliance under Subpart D of 40 CFR 63, the requirements of this section do not apply to those sources while they are operating under such compliance extensions.
- (3) If any State requires a notice that contains all the information required in a notification listed in this section, the owner or operator may send the Administrator a copy of the notice sent to the State to satisfy the requirements of this section for that notification.

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Phosphate Operations

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(4)(i) Before a State has been delegated the authority to implement and enforce notification requirements established under 40 CFR 63, the owner or operator of an affected source in such State subject to such requirements shall submit notifications to the appropriate Regional Office of the EPA (to the attention of the Director of the Division indicated in the list of the EPA Regional Offices in 40 CFR 63.13).

(ii) After a State has been delegated the authority to implement and enforce notification requirements established under 40 CFR 63, the owner or operator of an affected source in such State subject to such requirements shall submit notifications to the delegated State authority (which may be the same as the permitting authority). In addition, if the delegated (permitting) authority is the State, the owner or operator shall send a copy of each notification submitted to the State to the appropriate Regional Office of the EPA, as specified in paragraph (a)(4)(i) of this section. The Regional Office may waive this requirement for any notifications at its discretion.

[40 CFR 63.9(a)]

### (b) Initial notifications.

- (1)(i) The requirements of this paragraph apply to the owner or operator of an affected source when such source becomes subject to a relevant standard.
- (ii) If an area source that otherwise would be subject to an emission standard or other requirement established under 40 CFR 63 if it were a major source subsequently increases its emissions of hazardous air pollutants (or its potential to emit hazardous air pollutants) such that the source is a major source that is subject to the emission standard or other requirement, such source shall be subject to the notification requirements of this section.
- (iii) Affected sources that are required under this paragraph to submit an initial notification may use the application for approval of construction or reconstruction under 40 CFR 63.5(d), if relevant, to fulfill the initial notification requirements of this paragraph.
- (2) The owner or operator of an affected source that has an initial startup before the effective date of a relevant standard under 40 CFR 63 shall notify the Administrator in writing that the source is subject to the relevant standard. The notification, which shall be submitted not later than 120 calendar days after the effective date of the relevant standard (or within 120 calendar days after the source becomes subject to the relevant standard), shall provide the following information:
- (i) The name and address of the owner or operator:
- (ii) The address (i.e., physical location) of the affected source;
- (iii) An identification of the relevant standard, or other requirement, that is the basis of the notification and the source's compliance date;
- (iv) A brief description of the nature, size, design, and method of operation of the source, including its operating design capacity and an identification of each point of emission for each hazardous air pollutant, or if a definitive identification is not yet possible, a preliminary identification of each point of emission for each hazardous air pollutant; and

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(v) A statement of whether the affected source is a major source or an area source.

- (3) The owner or operator of a new or reconstructed affected source, or a source that has been reconstructed such that it is an affected source, that has an initial startup after the effective date of a relevant standard under 40 CFR 63 and for which an application for approval of construction or reconstruction is not required under 40 CFR 63.5(d), shall notify the Administrator in writing that the source is subject to the relevant standard no later than 120 days after initial startup. The notification shall provide all the information required in paragraphs (b)(2)(i) through (b)(2)(v) of this section, delivered or postmarked with the notification required in paragraph (b)(5).
- (4) The owner or operator of a new or reconstructed major affected source that has an initial startup after the effective date of a relevant standard under 40 CFR 63 and for which an application for approval of construction or reconstruction is required under 40 CFR 63.5(d) shall provide the following information in writing to the Administrator:
- (i) A notification of intention to construct a new major affected source, reconstruct a major affected source, or reconstruct a major source such that the source becomes a major affected source with the application for approval of construction or reconstruction as specified in 40 CFR 63.5(d)(1)(i);
- (ii) A notification of the date when construction or reconstruction was commenced, submitted simultaneously with the application for approval of construction or reconstruction, if construction or reconstruction was commenced before the effective date of the relevant standard;
- (iii) A notification of the date when construction or reconstruction was commenced, delivered or postmarked not later than 30 days after such date, if construction or reconstruction was commenced after the effective date of the relevant standard;
- (iv) (Reserved).
- (v) A notification of the actual date of startup of the source, delivered or postmarked within 15 calendar days after that date.
- (5) After the effective date of any relevant standard established by the Administrator under 40 CFR 63, whether or not an approved permit program is effective in the State in which an affected source is (or would be) located, an owner or operator who intends to construct a new affected source or reconstruct an affected source subject to such standard, or reconstruct a source such that it becomes an affected source subject to such standard, shall notify the Administrator, in writing, of the intended construction or reconstruction. The notification shall be submitted as soon as practicable before the construction or reconstruction or reconstruction commences after the effective date of the relevant standard promulgated in 40 CFR 63. The notification shall be submitted as soon as practicable before startup but no later than 60 days after the effective date of a relevant standard promulgated in 40 CFR 63 if the construction or reconstruction had commenced and initial startup had not occurred before the standard's effective date. The notification shall include all the information required for an application for approval of construction or reconstruction as specified in 40 CFR 63.5(d). For major sources, the application for approval of construction or reconstruction or reconstruction may be used to fulfill the requirements of this paragraph.

[40 CFR 63.9(b)]

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

## (c) Request for extension of compliance.

If the owner or operator of an affected source cannot comply with a relevant standard by the applicable compliance date for that source, or if the owner or operator has installed BACT or technology to meet LAER consistent with 40 CFR 63.6(i)(5), he/she may submit to the Administrator (or the State with an approved permit program) a request for an extension of compliance as specified in 40 CFR 63.6(i)(4) through 40 CFR 63.6(i)(6).

[40 CFR 63.9(c)]

## (d) Notification that source is subject to special compliance requirements.

An owner or operator of a new source that is subject to special compliance requirements as specified in 40 CFR 63.6(b)(3) and 40 CFR 63.6(b)(4) shall notify the Administrator of his/her compliance obligations not later than the notification dates established in paragraph (b) of this section for new sources that are not subject to the special provisions.

[40 CFR 63.9(d)]

### (e) Notification of performance test.

The owner or operator of an affected source shall notify the Administrator in writing of his or her intention to conduct a performance test at least 60 calendar days before the performance test is scheduled to begin to allow the Administrator to review and approve the site-specific test plan required under 40 CFR 63.7(c), if requested by the Administrator, and to have an observer present during the test.

[40 CFR 63.9(e)]

- (f) Not applicable.
- (g) Not applicable
- (h) Notification of compliance status.
- (1) The requirements of paragraphs (h)(2) through (h)(4) of this section apply when an affected source becomes subject to a relevant standard.
- (2) Only applicable prior to issuance of a Title V permit.
- (3) After a title V permit has been issued to the owner or operator of an affected source, the owner or operator of such source shall comply with all requirements for compliance status reports contained in the source's title V permit, including reports required under 40 CFR 63. After a title V permit has been issued to the owner or operator of an affected source, and each time a notification of compliance status is required under 40 CFR 63, the owner or operator of such source shall submit the notification of compliance status to the appropriate permitting authority following completion of the relevant compliance demonstration activity specified in the relevant standard.
- (4) (Reserved).

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(5) If an owner or operator of an affected source submits estimates or preliminary information in the application for approval of construction or reconstruction required in 40 CFR 63.5(d) in place of the actual emissions data or control efficiencies required in paragraphs (d)(1)(ii)(H) and (d)(2) of 40 CFR 63.5, the owner or operator shall submit the actual emissions data and other correct information as soon as available but no later than with the initial notification of compliance status required in this section.

(6) Advice on a notification of compliance status may be obtained from the Administrator.

[40 CFR 63.9(h)]

- (i) Adjustment to time periods or postmark deadlines for submittal and review of required communications.
- (1)(i) Until an adjustment of a time period or postmark deadline has been approved by the Administrator under paragraphs (i)(2) and (i)(3) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected source remains strictly subject to the requirements of 40 CFR 63.
- (ii) An owner or operator shall request the adjustment provided for in paragraphs (i)(2) and (i)(3) of this section each time he or she wishes to change an applicable time period or postmark deadline specified in 40 CFR 63.
- (2) Notwithstanding time periods or postmark deadlines specified in 40 CFR 63 for the submittal of information to the Administrator by an owner or operator, or the review of such information by the Administrator, such time periods or deadlines may be changed by mutual agreement between the owner or operator and the Administrator. An owner or operator who wishes to request a change in a time period or postmark deadline for a particular requirement shall request the adjustment in writing as soon as practicable before the subject activity is required to take place. The owner or operator shall include in the request whatever information he or she considers useful to convince the Administrator that an adjustment is warranted.
- (3) If, in the Administrator's judgment, an owner or operator's request for an adjustment to a particular time period or postmark deadline is warranted, the Administrator will approve the adjustment. The Administrator will notify the owner or operator in writing of approval or disapproval of the request for an adjustment within 15 calendar days of receiving sufficient information to evaluate the request.
- (4) If the Administrator is unable to meet a specified deadline, he or she will notify the owner or operator of any significant delay and inform the owner or operator of the amended schedule.

[40 CFR 63.9(i)]

(i) Change in information already provided.

Any change in the information already provided under this section shall be provided to the Administrator in writing within 15 calendar days after the change.

[40 CFR 63.9(j)]

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

# Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements

(a) Applicability and general information.

- (1) The requirements of this section apply to owners or operators of affected sources who are subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63, unless specified otherwise in a relevant standard.
- (2) For affected sources that have been granted an extension of compliance under Subpart D of 40 CFR 63, the requirements of this section do not apply to those sources while they are operating under such compliance extensions.
- (3) If any State requires a report that contains all the information required in a report listed in this section, an owner or operator may send the Administrator a copy of the report sent to the State to satisfy the requirements of this section for that report.
- (4)(i) Before a State has been delegated the authority to implement and enforce recordkeeping and reporting requirements established under 40 CFR 63, the owner or operator of an affected source in such State subject to such requirements shall submit reports to the appropriate Regional Office of the EPA (to the attention of the Director of the Division indicated in the list of the EPA Regional Offices in 40 CFR 63.13).
- (ii) After a State has been delegated the authority to implement and enforce recordkeeping and reporting requirements established under 40 CFR 63, the owner or operator of an affected source in such State subject to such requirements shall submit reports to the delegated State authority (which may be the same as the permitting authority). In addition, if the delegated (permitting) authority is the State, the owner or operator shall send a copy of each report submitted to the State to the appropriate Regional Office of the EPA, as specified in paragraph (a)(4)(i) of this section. The Regional Office may waive this requirement for any reports at its discretion.
- (5) If an owner or operator of an affected source in a State with delegated authority is required to submit periodic reports under 40 CFR 63 to the State, and if the State has an established timeline for the submission of periodic reports that is consistent with the reporting frequency(ies) specified for such source under 40 CFR 63, the owner or operator may change the dates by which periodic reports under 40 CFR 63 shall be submitted (without changing the frequency of reporting) to be consistent with the State's schedule by mutual agreement between the owner or operator and the State. For each relevant standard established pursuant to section 112 of the Act, the allowance in the previous sentence applies in each State beginning one year after the affected source's compliance date for that standard. Procedures governing the implementation of this provision are specified in 40 CFR 63.9(i).
- (6) If an owner or operator supervises one or more stationary sources affected by more than one standard established pursuant to section 112 of the Act, he/she may arrange by mutual agreement between the owner or operator and the Administrator (or the State permitting authority) a common schedule on which periodic reports required for each source shall be submitted throughout the year. The allowance in the previous sentence applies in each State beginning 1 year after the latest compliance date for any relevant standard established pursuant to section 112 of the Act for any such affected source(s). Procedures governing the implementation of this provision are specified in 40 CFR 63.9(i).

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(7) If an owner or operator supervises one or more stationary sources affected by standards established pursuant to section 112 of the Act (as amended November 15, 1990) and standards set under Part 60, Part 61, or both such parts of this chapter, he/she may arrange by mutual agreement between the owner or operator and the Administrator (or the State permitting authority) a common schedule on which periodic reports required by each relevant (i.e., applicable) standard shall be submitted throughout the year. The allowance in the previous sentence applies in each State beginning one year after the stationary source is required to be in compliance with the relevant section 112 standard, or one year after the stationary source is required to be in compliance with the applicable Part 60 or Part 61 standard, whichever is latest. Procedures governing the implementation of this provision are specified in 40 CFR 63.9(i).

[40 CFR 63.10(a)]

## (b) General recordkeeping requirements.

- (1) The owner or operator of an affected source subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63 shall maintain files of all information (including all reports and notifications) required by 40 CFR 63 recorded in a form suitable and readily available for expeditious inspection and review. The files shall be retained for at least five years following the date of each occurrence, measurement, maintenance, corrective action, report, or record. At a minimum, the most recent TWO years of data shall be retained onsite. The remaining three years of data may be retained off site. Such files may be maintained on microfilm, on a computer, on computer floppy disks, on magnetic tape disks, or on microfiche.
- (2) The owner or operator of an affected source subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63 shall maintain relevant records for such source of --
- (i) The occurrence and duration of each startup, shutdown, or malfunction of operation (i.e., process equipment);
- (ii) The occurrence and duration of each malfunction of the air pollution control equipment:
- (iii) All maintenance performed on the air pollution control equipment;
- (iv) Actions taken during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction (including corrective actions to restore malfunctioning process and air pollution control equipment to its normal or usual manner of operation) when such actions are different from the procedures specified in the affected source's startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan [see 40 CFR 63.6(e)(3)];

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

- (v) All information necessary to demonstrate conformance with the affected source's startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan [see 40 CFR 63.6(e)(3)] when all actions taken during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction (including corrective actions to restore malfunctioning process and air pollution control equipment to its normal or usual manner of operation) are consistent with the procedures specified in such plan. (The information needed to demonstrate conformance with the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan may be recorded using a "checklist," or some other effective form of recordkeeping, in order to minimize the recordkeeping burden for conforming events);
- (vi) Each period during which a CMS is malfunctioning or inoperative (including out-of-control periods);
- (vii) All required measurements needed to demonstrate compliance with a relevant standard (including, but not limited to, 15-minute averages of CMS data, raw performance testing measurements, and raw performance evaluation measurements, that support data that the source is required to report);
- (A) This paragraph applies to owners or operators required to install a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) where the CEMS installed is automated, and where the calculated data averages do not exclude periods of CEMS breakdown or malfunction. An automated CEMS records and reduces the measured data to the form of the pollutant emission standard through the use of a computerized data acquisition system. In lieu of maintaining a file of all CEMS subhourly measurements as required under paragraph (b)(2)(vii) of this section, the owner or operator shall retain the most recent consecutive three averaging periods of subhourly measurements and a file that contains a hard copy of the data acquisition system algorithm used to reduce the measured data into the reportable form of the standard.
- (B) This paragraph applies to owners or operators required to install a CEMS where the measured data is manually reduced to obtain the reportable form of the standard, and where the calculated data averages do not exclude periods of CEMS breakdown or malfunction. In lieu of maintaining a file of all CEMS subhourly measurements as required under paragraph (b)(2)(vii) of this section, the owner or operator shall retain all subhourly measurements for the most recent reporting period. The subhourly measurements shall be retained for 120 days from the date of the most recent summary or excess emission report submitted to the Administrator.
- (C) The Administrator or delegated authority, upon notification to the source, may require the owner or operator to maintain all measurements as required by paragraph (b)(2)(vii), if the administrator or the delegated authority determines these records are required to more accurately assess the compliance status of the affected source.
- (viii) All results of performance tests, CMS performance evaluations, and opacity and visible emission observations;
- (ix) All measurements as may be necessary to determine the conditions of performance tests and performance evaluations;
- (x) All CMS calibration checks;
- (xi) All adjustments and maintenance performed on CMS;

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(xii) Any information demonstrating whether a source is meeting the requirements for a waiver of recordkeeping or reporting requirements under 40 CFR 63, if the source has been granted a waiver under paragraph (f) of this section;

- (xiii) All emission levels relative to the criterion for obtaining permission to use an alternative to the relative accuracy test, if the source has been granted such permission under 40 CFR 63.8(f)(6); and
- (xiv) All documentation supporting initial notifications and notifications of compliance status under 40 CFR 63.9.
- (3) Recordkeeping requirement for applicability determinations. If an owner or operator determines that his or her stationary source that emits (or has the potential to emit, without considering controls) one or more hazardous air pollutants is not subject to a relevant standard or other requirement established under 40 CFR 63, the owner or operator shall keep a record of the applicability determination onsite at the source for a period of five years after the determination, or until the source changes its operations to become an affected source, whichever comes first. The record of the applicability determination shall include an analysis (or other information) that demonstrates why the owner or operator believes the source is unaffected (e.g., because the source is an area source). The analysis (or other information) shall be sufficiently detailed to allow the Administrator to make a finding about the source's applicability status with regard to the relevant standard or other requirement. If relevant, the analysis shall be performed in accordance with requirements established in subparts of 40 CFR 63 for this purpose for particular categories of stationary sources. If relevant, the analysis should be performed in accordance with EPA guidance materials published to assist sources in making applicability determinations under section 112, if any.

[40 CFR 63.10(b)]

- (c) Additional recordkeeping requirements for sources with continuous monitoring systems in addition to complying with the requirements specified in paragraphs (b)(1) and (b)(2) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected source required to install a CMS by a relevant standard shall maintain records for such source of
- (1) All required CMS measurements (including monitoring data recorded during unavoidable CMS breakdowns and out-of-control periods);
- (2) (4) (Reserved).
- (5) The date and time identifying each period during which the CMS was inoperative except for zero (low-level) and high-level checks;
- (6) Not applicable.
- (7) The specific identification (i.e., the date and time of commencement and completion) of each period of excess emissions and parameter monitoring exceedances, as defined in the relevant standard(s), that occurs during startups, shutdowns, and malfunctions of the affected source:
- (8) The specific identification (i.e., the date and time of commencement and completion) of each time period of excess emissions and parameter monitoring exceedances, as defined in the relevant standard(s), that occurs during periods other than startups, shutdowns, and malfunctions of the affected source;
- (9) (Reserved).

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

- (10) The nature and cause of any malfunction (if known);
- (11) The corrective action taken or preventive measures adopted;
- (12) The nature of the repairs or adjustments to the CMS that was inoperative or out of control;
- (13) The total process operating time during the reporting period
- (14) Not applicable.
- (15) In order to satisfy the requirements of paragraphs (c)(10) through (c)(12) of this section and to avoid duplicative recordkeeping efforts, the owner or operator may use the affected source's startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan or records kept to satisfy the recordkeeping requirements of the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan specified in 40 CFR 63.6(e), provided that such plan and records adequately address the requirements of paragraphs (c)(10) through (c)(12).

[40 CFR 63.10(c)]

#### (d) General reporting requirements.

- (1) Notwithstanding the requirements in this paragraph or paragraph (e) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected source subject to reporting requirements under 40 CFR 63 shall submit reports to the Administrator in accordance with the reporting requirements in the relevant standard(s).
- (2) Reporting results of performance tests. Before a title V permit has been issued to the owner or operator of an affected source, the owner or operator shall report the results of any performance test under 40 CFR 63.7 to the Administrator. After a title V permit has been issued to the owner or operator of an affected source, the owner or operator shall report the results of a required performance test to the appropriate permitting authority. The owner or operator of an affected source shall report the results of the performance test to the Administrator (or the State with an approved permit program) before the close of business on the 60th day following the completion of the performance test, unless specified otherwise in a relevant standard or as approved otherwise in writing by the Administrator. The results of the performance test shall be submitted as part of the notification of compliance status required under 40 CFR 63.9(h).
- (3) Not applicable.
- (4) Progress reports. The owner or operator of an affected source who is required to submit progress reports as a condition of receiving an extension of compliance under 40 CFR 63.6(i) shall submit such reports to the Administrator (or the State with an approved permit program) by the dates specified in the written extension of compliance.
- (5)(i) Periodic startup, shutdown, and malfunction reports. If actions taken by an owner or operator during a startup, shutdown, or malfunction of an affected source (including actions taken to correct a malfunction) are consistent with the procedures specified in the source's startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan [see 40 CFR 63.6(e)(3)], the owner or operator shall state such information in a startup, shutdown, and malfunction report. Reports shall only be required if a startup, shutdown, or malfunction occurred during the reporting period. The startup, shutdown, and malfunction report shall consist of a letter, containing the name, title, and signature of the owner or operator or other responsible official who is certifying its accuracy, that shall be submitted to the Administrator semiannually (or on a more frequent basis if specified otherwise in a relevant standard or as established otherwise by the permitting authority in the source's title V permit). The startup, shutdown, and malfunction report shall be delivered or postmarked by the 30th day following the

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.: Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

end of each calendar half (or other calendar reporting period, as appropriate). If the owner or operator is required to submit excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance (or other periodic) reports under 40 CFR 63, the startup, shutdown, and malfunction reports required under this paragraph may be submitted simultaneously with the excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance (or other) reports. If startup, shutdown, and malfunction reports are submitted with excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance (or other periodic) reports, and the owner or operator receives approval to reduce the frequency of reporting for the latter under paragraph (e) of this section, the frequency of reporting for the startup, shutdown, and malfunction reports also may be reduced if the Administrator does not object to the intended change. The procedures to implement the allowance in the preceding sentence shall be the same as the procedures specified in paragraph (e)(3) of this section.

(ii) Immediate startup, shutdown, and malfunction reports. Notwithstanding the allowance to reduce the frequency of reporting for periodic startup, shutdown, and malfunction reports under paragraph (d)(5)(i) of this section, any time an action taken by an owner or operator during a startup, shutdown, or malfunction (including actions taken to correct a malfunction) is not consistent with the procedures specified in the affected source's startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, the owner or operator shall report the actions taken for that event within two working days after commencing actions inconsistent with the plan followed by a letter within seven working days after the end of the event. The immediate report required under this paragraph shall consist of a telephone call (or facsimile [FAX] transmission) to the Administrator within two working days after commencing actions inconsistent with the plan, and it shall be followed by a letter, delivered or postmarked within seven working days after the end of the event, that contains the name, title, and signature of the owner or operator or other responsible official who is certifying its accuracy, explaining the circumstances of the event, the reasons for not following the startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan. and whether any excess emissions and/or parameter monitoring exceedances are believed to have occurred. Notwithstanding the requirements of the previous sentence, after the effective date of an approved permit program in the State in which an affected source is located, the owner or operator may make alternative reporting arrangements, in advance, with the permitting authority in that State. Procedures governing the arrangement of alternative reporting requirements under this paragraph are specified in 40 CFR 63.9(i).

[40 CFR 63.10(d)]

- (e) (1)(2) Not applicable.
- (3) Excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance report and summary report.
- (i) Excess emissions and parameter monitoring exceedances are defined in relevant standards. The owner or operator of an affected source required to install a CMS by a relevant standard shall submit an excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance report and/or a summary report to the Administrator semiannually, except when
- (A) More frequent reporting is specifically required by a relevant standard:
- (B) The Administrator determines on a case-by-case basis that more frequent reporting is necessary to accurately assess the compliance status of the source; or
- (C) (Reserved).
- (ii) Request to reduce frequency of excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance reports. Notwithstanding the frequency of reporting requirements specified in paragraph (e)(3)(i) of this section, an owner or operator who is required by a relevant standard to submit excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance (and summary) reports on a quarterly (or more frequent) basis

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

may reduce the frequency of reporting for that standard to semiannual if the following conditions are met:

- (A) For one full year (e.g., four quarterly or 12 monthly reporting periods) the affected source's excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance reports continually demonstrate that the source is in compliance with the relevant standard;
- (B) The owner or operator continues to comply with all recordkeeping and monitoring requirements specified in Subpart A and the relevant standard; and
- (C) The Administrator does not object to a reduced frequency of reporting for the affected source, as provided in paragraph (e)(3)(iii) of this section.
- (iii) The frequency of reporting of excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance (and summary) reports required to comply with a relevant standard may be reduced only after the owner or operator notifies the Administrator in writing of his or her intention to make such a change and the Administrator does not object to the intended change. In deciding whether to approve a reduced frequency of reporting, the Administrator may review information concerning the source's entire previous performance history during the five-year recordkeeping period prior to the intended change, including performance test results, monitoring data, and evaluations of an owner or operator's conformance with operation and maintenance requirements. Such information may be used by the Administrator to make a judgment about the source's potential for noncompliance in the future. If the Administrator disapproves the owner or operator's request to reduce the frequency of reporting, the Administrator will notify the owner or operator in writing within 45 days after receiving notice of the owner or operator's intention. The notification from the Administrator to the owner or operator will specify the grounds on which the disapproval is based. In the absence of a notice of disapproval within 45 days, approval is automatically granted.
- (iv) As soon as CMS data indicate that the source is not in compliance with any emission limitation or operating parameter specified in the relevant standard, the frequency of reporting shall revert to the frequency specified in the relevant standard, and the owner or operator shall submit an excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance (and summary) report for the noncomplying emission points at the next appropriate reporting period following the noncomplying event. After demonstrating ongoing compliance with the relevant standard for another full year, the owner or operator may again request approval from the Administrator to reduce the frequency of reporting for that standard, as provided for in paragraphs (e)(3)(ii) and (e)(3)(iii) of this section.
- (v) Content and submittal dates for excess emissions and monitoring system performance reports. All excess emissions and monitoring system performance reports and all summary reports, if required, shall be delivered or postmarked by the 30th day following the end of each calendar half or quarter, as appropriate. Written reports of excess emissions or exceedances of process or control system parameters shall include all the information required in paragraphs (c)(5) through (c)(13) of this section, in 40 CFR 63.8(c)(7) and 40 CFR 63.8(c)(8), and in the relevant standard, and they shall contain the name, title, and signature of the responsible official who is certifying the accuracy of the report. When no excess emissions or exceedances of a parameter have occurred, or a CMS has not been inoperative, out of control, repaired, or adjusted, such information shall be stated in the report.
- (vi) Summary report. As required under paragraphs (e)(3)(vii) and (e)(3)(viii) of this section, one summary report shall be submitted for the hazardous air pollutants monitored at each affected source (unless the relevant standard specifies that more than one summary report is required, e.g., one summary report for each hazardous air pollutant monitored). The summary report shall be entitled "Summary Report Gaseous and Opacity Excess Emission and Continuous Monitoring System Performance" and shall contain

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

the following information:

- (A) The company name and address of the affected source;
- (B) An identification of each hazardous air pollutant monitored at the affected source;
- (C) The beginning and ending dates of the reporting period;
- (D) A brief description of the process units;
- (E) The emission and operating parameter limitations specified in the relevant standard(s);
- (F) The monitoring equipment manufacturer(s) and model number(s);
- (G) The date of the latest CMS certification or audit;
- (H) The total operating time of the affected source during the reporting period;
- (I) An emission data summary (or similar summary if the owner or operator monitors control system parameters), including the total duration of excess emissions during the reporting period (recorded in minutes for opacity and hours for gases), the total duration of excess emissions expressed as a percent of the total source operating time during that reporting period, and a breakdown of the total duration of excess emissions during the reporting period into those that are due to startup/shutdown, control equipment problems, process problems, other known causes, and other unknown causes;
- (J) A CMS performance summary (or similar summary if the owner or operator monitors control system parameters), including the total CMS downtime during the reporting period (recorded in minutes for opacity and hours for gases), the total duration of CMS downtime expressed as a percent of the total source operating time during that reporting period, and a breakdown of the total CMS downtime during the reporting period into periods that are due to monitoring equipment malfunctions, nonmonitoring equipment malfunctions, quality assurance/quality control calibrations, other known causes, and other unknown causes;
- (K) A description of any changes in CMS, processes, or controls since the last reporting period;
- (L) The name, title, and signature of the responsible official who is certifying the accuracy of the report; and
- (M) The date of the report.
- (vii) If the total duration of excess emissions or process or control system parameter exceedances for the reporting period is less than one% of the total operating time for the reporting period, and CMS downtime for the reporting period is less than five% of the total operating time for the reporting period, only the summary report shall be submitted, and the full excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance report need not be submitted unless required by the Administrator.
- (viii) If the total duration of excess emissions or process or control system parameter exceedances for the reporting period is one% or greater of the total operating time for the reporting period, or the total CMS downtime for the reporting period is five% or greater of the total operating time for the reporting period, both the summary report and the excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance report shall be submitted.

[40 CFR 63.10(e)]

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Phosphate Operations

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

### (f) Waiver of recordkeeping or reporting requirements.

- (1) Until a waiver of a recordkeeping or reporting requirement has been granted by the Administrator under this paragraph, the owner or operator of an affected source remains subject to the requirements of this section.
- (2) Recordkeeping or reporting requirements may be waived upon written application to the Administrator if, in the Administrator's judgment, the affected source is achieving the relevant standard(s), or the source is operating under an extension of compliance, or the owner or operator has requested an extension of compliance and the Administrator is still considering that request.
- (3) If an application for a waiver of recordkeeping or reporting is made, the application shall accompany the request for an extension of compliance under 40 CFR 63.6(i), any required compliance progress report or compliance status report required under 40 CFR 63 [such as under 40 CFR 63.6(i) and 40 CFR 63.9(h)] or in the source's title V permit, or an excess emissions and continuous monitoring system performance report required under paragraph (e) of this section, whichever is applicable. The application shall include whatever information the owner or operator considers useful to convince the Administrator that a waiver of recordkeeping or reporting is warranted.
- (4) The Administrator will approve or deny a request for a waiver of recordkeeping or reporting requirements under this paragraph when he/she
- (i) Approves or denies an extension of compliance; or
- (ii) Makes a determination of compliance following the submission of a required compliance status report or excess emissions and continuous monitoring systems performance report; or
- (iii) Makes a determination of suitable progress towards compliance following the submission of a compliance progress report, whichever is applicable.
- (5) A waiver of any recordkeeping or reporting requirement granted under this paragraph may be conditioned on other recordkeeping or reporting requirements deemed necessary by the Administrator.
- (6) Approval of any waiver granted under this section shall not abrogate the Administrator's authority under the Act or in any way prohibit the Administrator from later canceling the waiver. The cancellation will be made only after notice is given to the owner or operator of the affected source.

[40 CFR 63.10(f)]

# Addresses of state air pollution control agencies and EPA Regional Offices.

(a) All requests, reports, applications, submittals, and other communications to the Administrator pursuant to 40 CFR 63 shall be submitted to the appropriate Regional Office of the EPA, EPA Region X (Alaska, Idaho, Oregon, Washington), Director, Office of Air Quality, 1200 Sixth Avenue (OAQ-107), Seattle, WA 98101.

[40 CFR 63.13(a)]

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(b) All information required to be submitted to the Administrator under 40 CFR 63 also shall be submitted to the appropriate State agency of any State to which authority has been delegated under section 112(I) of the Act. The owner or operator of an affected source may contact the appropriate EPA Regional Office for the mailing addresses for those States whose delegation requests have been approved.

[40 CFR 63.13(b)]

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

**Phosphate Operations** 

Date issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(c) If any State requires a submittal that contains all the information required in an application, notification, request, report, statement, or other communication required in 40 CFR 63, an owner or operator may send the appropriate Regional Office of the EPA a copy of that submittal to satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR 63 for that communication.

[40 CFR 63.13(c)]

### Incorporation by Reference

(a) The materials listed in this section are incorporated by reference in the corresponding sections noted. These incorporations by reference were approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR Part 51. These materials are incorporated as they exist on the date of the approval, and notice of any change in these materials will be published in the Federal Register. The materials are available for purchase at the corresponding addresses noted below.

[40 CFR 63.14(a)]

- (b),(c),(d),(e),(f) Not applicable.
- (g) The materials listed below are available for purchase from AOAC International, Customer Services, Suite 400, 2200 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia, 22201-3301, Telephone (703)522-3032, Fax (703)522-5468.
- (1) AOAC Official Method 978.01 Phosphorus (Total) in Fertilizers, Automated Method, Sixteenth edition, 1995, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.626(d)(3)(vi).
- (2) AOAC Official Method 969.02 Phosphorus (Total) in Fertilizers, Alkalimetric Quinolinium Molybdophosphate Method, Sixteenth edition, 1995, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.626(d)(3)(vi).
- (3) AOAC Official Method 962.02 Phosphorus (Total) in Fertilizers, Gravimetric Quinolinium Molybdophosphate Method, Sixteenth edition, 1995, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.626(d)(3)(vi).
- (4) AOAC Official Method 957.02 Phosphorus (Total) in Fertilizers, Preparation of Sample Solution, Sixteenth edition, 1995, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.626(d)(3)(vi).
- (5) AOAC Official Method 929.01 Sampling of Solid Fertilizers, Sixteenth edition, 1995, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.626(d)(3)(vi).
- (6) AOAC Official Method 929.02 Preparation of Fertilizer Sample, Sixteenth edition, 1995, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.626(d)(3)(vi).
- (7) AOAC Official Method 958.01 Phosphorus (Total) in Fertilizers, Spectrophotometric Molybdovanadophosphate Method, Sixteenth edition, 1995, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.626(d)(3)(vi).

  [40 CFR 63.14(g)]
- (h) The materials listed below are available for purchase from The Association of Florida Phosphate Chemists, P.O. Box 1645, Bartow, Florida, 33830, Book of Methods Used and Adopted By The Association of Florida Phosphate Chemists, Seventh Edition 1991, IBR.
- (1) Section IX, Methods of Analysis for Phosphate Rock, No. 1 Preparation of Sample, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.606(c)(3)(ii) and 40 CFR 63.626(c)(3)(ii).

Permittee:

Nu-West Industries, Inc.; Agrium Conda

Date Issued:

October 28, 2002

Location:

Phosphate Operations Soda Springs, Idaho

Date Expires:

October 28, 2006

The permittee is hereby allowed to operate the equipment described herein subject to all terms and conditions of the permit.

(2) Section IX, Methods of Analysis for Phosphate Rock, No. 3 Phosphorus − P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> or Ca<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Method A-Volumetric Method, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.606(c)(3)(ii) and 40 CFR 63.626(c)(3)(ii).

- (3) Section IX, Methods of Analysis for Phosphate Rock, No. 3 Phosphorus- P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> or Ca<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Method B Gravimetric Quimociac Method, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.606(c)(3)(ii) and 40 CFR 63.626(c)(3)(ii).
- (4) Section IX, Methods of Analysis For Phosphate Rock, No. 3 Phosphorus- P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> or Ca<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, Method C Spectrophotometric Method, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.606(c)(3)(ii) and 40 CFR 63.626(c)(3)(ii).
- (5) Section XI, Methods of Analysis for Phosphoric Acid, Superphosphate, Triple Superphosphate, and Ammonium Phosphates, No. 3 Total Phosphorus- P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, Method A Volumetric Method, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.606(c)(3)(ii), 40 CFR 63.626(c)(3)(ii), and 40 CFR 63.626(d)(3)(v).
- (6) Section XI, Methods of Analysis for Phosphoric Acid, Superphosphate, Triple Superphosphate, and Ammonium Phosphates, No. 3 Total Phosphorus- P₂O₅, Method B -- Gravimetric Quimociac Method, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.606(c)(3)(ii), 40 CFR 63.626(c)(3)(ii), and 40 CFR 63.626(d)(3)(v).
- (7) Section XI, Methods of Analysis for Phosphoric Acid, Superphosphate, Triple Superphosphate, and Ammonium Phosphates, No. 3 Total Phosphorus- P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, Method C -- Spectrophotometric Method, IBR approved for 40 CFR 63.606(c)(3)(ii), 40 CFR 63.626(c)(3)(ii), and 40 CFR 63.626(d)(3)(v).

[40 CFR 63.14(h)]